ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag & The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

Case No - OA 545 of 2015.

Prasanta Kumar Dutta –Vs- State of W.B. & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
15	For the Applicant : Mr. S. N.Ray,	
26.06.2018.	Ld. Adv.	
	For the State Respondent: Mr. G.P. Banerjee,	
	Smt. S. Agarwal,	
	Ld. Advs.	
	For the A.G. (A& E) WB :Mr. B. Mitra,	
	Departmental Representative.	
	Departmentar representative.	
	The applicant joined in the post of Medical	
	Technologist on August 22, 1980 and retired on February	
	28, 2015. The contention of the applicant is that he is	
	entitled to receive pension and other retirement benefits	
	and that the applicant is at no fault for the delay in	
	release of his pension. It appears from letter dated June	
	23, 2015 issued by the Senior Accounts Officer Pen XIII of	
	A.G. (A& E) WB that the A.G. (A& E) WB wanted to know	
	from the respondent no. 5 the details of the order issued	
	by the State Government and the court orders in favour	
	of the applicant for granting higher scale of pay. The reply	
	given by the respondent no. 5 on April 24, 2015 indicates	
	that the applicant was given scale of pay of Rs. 425-	
	1050/- in accordance with the order of the Hon'ble High	

PRASANTA KUMAR DUTTA.

Form No.

Vs.

Case No. <u>OA 545</u>	DF 2015.		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3	
	Court at Calcutta. The fixation of pay of the applicant was		
	revised from time to time in accordance with the		
	provisions of ROPA, 1990, 1998 and 2009 and benefit of		
	Career Advancement was also given for completion of 10		
	years of service/20 years of service/25 years of service on		
	the basis of the order of the Hon'ble High Court passed		
	on July 08, 1991 and on April 11, 1994. The reply of the		
	respondent no. 5 further indicates that the order passed		
	by the Ld. Single Judge of the Hon'ble High Court was set		
	aside on appeal on April 06, 1998. When the State		
	preferred Special Leave Petition before the Hon'ble		
	Supreme Court of India, the matter was remanded back		
	to the High Court and fresh hearing was done on		
	September 26, 2001 and order was passed in favour of		
	the State of West Bengal. The petitioners who moved the		
	Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta filed an application for		
	modification and reconsideration of the order dated		
	September 26, 2001, but the Hon'ble High Court gave		
	liberty to the petitioner to submit representation and		
	directed the State to pass a reasoned order. The copy of		
	the reasoned order passed in compliance with the		
	direction of the Hon'ble High Court on May 10, 2006 is		
	placed on record by Ld. Counsel for the applicant.		

PRASANTA KUMAR DUTTA.

Form No.

Vs.

Date of order. 2 and dated signa 1 It appears from the said order dated May 10, 3 2006 passed the Principal Secretary to the Government 3 3 of West Bengal, Finance Department that there was no question of rationalisation of different pay scales in the 3 basic grade as there was only one pay scale in the basic grade available under the provisions of Revision of Pay 3 and Allowances Rules applicable to the petitioners of the Writ Petition. In view of the facts disclosed above, the 3 pay of the applicant is required to be refixed for deciding 6 6 6 entitlement of the applicant for pension and other 7 7 retirement benefits. The State Respondents have taken the 5 specific plea that pay of the applicant is required to be 5 7 amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement 5 5 benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the 6 6 applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder 7 7 that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant 6 7 7 amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to 7 7 7	Case No. <u>OA 545</u>	se No. <u>OA 545 OF 2015.</u>		
2006 passed the Principal Secretary to the Government of West Bengal, Finance Department that there was no question of rationalisation of different pay scales in the basic grade as there was only one pay scale in the basic grade available under the provisions of Revision of Pay and Allowances Rules applicable to the petitioners of the Writ Petition. In view of the facts disclosed above, the pay of the applicant is required to be refixed for deciding entitlement of the applicant for pension and other retirement benefits. The State Respondents have taken the specific plea that pay of the applicant is required to be refixed as per provisions of the rules and overdrawn amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to	Date of order.		Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3	
of West Bengal, Finance Department that there was no question of rationalisation of different pay scales in the basic grade as there was only one pay scale in the basic grade available under the provisions of Revision of Pay and Allowances Rules applicable to the petitioners of the Writ Petition. In view of the facts disclosed above, the pay of the applicant is required to be refixed for deciding entitlement of the applicant for pension and other retirement benefits. The State Respondents have taken the specific plea that pay of the applicant is required to be refixed as per provisions of the rules and overdrawn amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		It appears from the said order dated May 10,		
question of rationalisation of different pay scales in the basic grade as there was only one pay scale in the basic grade available under the provisions of Revision of Pay and Allowances Rules applicable to the petitioners of the Writ Petition. In view of the facts disclosed above, the pay of the applicant is required to be refixed for deciding entitlement of the applicant for pension and other retirement benefits. The State Respondents have taken the specific plea that pay of the applicant is required to be refixed as per provisions of the rules and overdrawn amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		2006 passed the Principal Secretary to the Government		
basic grade as there was only one pay scale in the basic grade available under the provisions of Revision of Pay and Allowances Rules applicable to the petitioners of the Writ Petition. In view of the facts disclosed above, the pay of the applicant is required to be refixed for deciding entitlement of the applicant for pension and other retirement benefits. The State Respondents have taken the specific plea that pay of the applicant is required to be refixed as per provisions of the rules and overdrawn amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		of West Bengal, Finance Department that there was no		
grade available under the provisions of Revision of Pay and Allowances Rules applicable to the petitioners of the Writ Petition. In view of the facts disclosed above, the pay of the applicant is required to be refixed for deciding entitlement of the applicant for pension and other retirement benefits. The State Respondents have taken the specific plea that pay of the applicant is required to be refixed as per provisions of the rules and overdrawn amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		question of rationalisation of different pay scales in the		
and Allowances Rules applicable to the petitioners of the Writ Petition. In view of the facts disclosed above, the pay of the applicant is required to be refixed for deciding entitlement of the applicant for pension and other retirement benefits. The State Respondents have taken the specific plea that pay of the applicant is required to be refixed as per provisions of the rules and overdrawn amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		basic grade as there was only one pay scale in the basic		
Writ Petition. In view of the facts disclosed above, the pay of the applicant is required to be refixed for deciding entitlement of the applicant for pension and other retirement benefits. The State Respondents have taken the specific plea that pay of the applicant is required to be refixed as per provisions of the rules and overdrawn amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		grade available under the provisions of Revision of Pay		
pay of the applicant is required to be refixed for deciding entitlement of the applicant for pension and other retirement benefits. The State Respondents have taken the specific plea that pay of the applicant is required to be refixed as per provisions of the rules and overdrawn amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		and Allowances Rules applicable to the petitioners of the		
entitlement of the applicant for pension and other retirement benefits. The State Respondents have taken the specific plea that pay of the applicant is required to be refixed as per provisions of the rules and overdrawn amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		Writ Petition. In view of the facts disclosed above, the		
retirement benefits. The State Respondents have taken the specific plea that pay of the applicant is required to be refixed as per provisions of the rules and overdrawn amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		pay of the applicant is required to be refixed for deciding		
The State Respondents have taken the specific plea that pay of the applicant is required to be refixed as per provisions of the rules and overdrawn amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		entitlement of the applicant for pension and other		
specific plea that pay of the applicant is required to be refixed as per provisions of the rules and overdrawn amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		retirement benefits.		
refixed as per provisions of the rules and overdrawn amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		The State Respondents have taken the		
amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		specific plea that pay of the applicant is required to be		
benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		refixed as per provisions of the rules and overdrawn		
applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		amount, if any, should be recovered from the retirement		
that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		benefits of the applicant. On the other hand, the		
and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		applicant has stated in paragraph 9 & 16 of the rejoinder		
amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		that no amount of money is overdrawn by the applicant		
		and thereby the question of recovery of overdrawal		
		amount does not arise. In this regard, we would like to		
observe that the pay of the applicant is required to be		observe that the pay of the applicant is required to be		
fixed as decided by the Hon'ble High Court and		fixed as decided by the Hon'ble High Court and		
observations made by the then Principal Secretary to the		observations made by the then Principal Secretary to the		

PRASANTA KUMAR DUTTA.

Form No.

Vs.

Case No. <u>OA 545</u>	5 OF 2015.		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3	
	Government of West Bengal, Finance Department in the		
	reasoned order communicated under letter dated May		
	10, 2006 which is placed on record.		
	The applicant has prayed for direction upon		
	the respondents to release retirement benefits and has		
	also prayed for quashing of letter dated February 02,		
	2015 issued by the Senior Accounts Officer of AG (A& E),		
	West Bengal. On perusal of the letter dated February 02,		
	2015 issued by the Senior Accounts Officer, A.G.		
	(A&E)W.B., we find that the Senior Accounts Officer has		
	only asked for necessary Government Order and Court		
	orders issued for fixation of higher scale of pay of the		
	applicant. We do not find any illegality or irregularity in		
	issuance of the said letter dated February 02, 2015 and as		
	such, the question of quashing of the said letter does not		
	arise at all. With regard to the other prayer of the		
	applicant, we are inclined to give direction to the		
	respondent no. 2 for taking decision in respect of		
	entitlement of the applicant for pension and other		
	retirement benefits.		
	Accordingly, we direct the respondent no. 2		
	Director of Health Services, West Bengal to take a		
	decision about entitlement of the applicant for pension		

PRASANTA KUMAR DUTTA.

Form No.

Vs.

Case No. <u>OA 545</u>		est Bengal & Ors.
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	and other retirement benefits on the basis of orders	
	passed by the Hon'ble High Court from time to time and	
	the reasoned order passed by the Principal Secretary to	
	the Government of West Bengal, Department of Finance	
	within a period of twelve weeks from the date of	
	communication of the order and to communicate the	
	result of the same to the applicant and other appropriate	
	authorities within a period of two weeks thereafter.	
	With the above direction, the Original	
	Application is disposed of.	
	Let a plain copy of this order be supplied to all	
	the parties.	
	(S.K. Das) (R.K.Bag) Member (A). Member (J)	
Ska		
Skg.		

PRASANTA KUMAR DUTTA.

••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA 545 OF 2015.

 	 8	

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

Form No.